

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/658,584	Applicant(s) BERINGER ET AL.
	Examiner HARES JAMI	Art Unit 2162

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>
(1) <u>Hares Jami.</u>	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Philip Hoffman (Reg. No. 46,340).</u>	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>10 August 2011</u>	Time: <u>10:30 AM EST</u>

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 14, 17, and 19.

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hares Jami/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
---	---

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To expedite the prosecution of the application and put the case in condition for allowance through examiner's amendment, the Examiner called the applicant's representative and asked him to cancel claims 14, 17, and 19 and incorporate their limitations to claim 1 and other independent claims. The applicant's representative stated that he needed to consult with his client and would call back. However, the Examiner did not receive any response after few days and had to send out the Final Action.